

Docket No.: 198249US2CONT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT P.C.

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS (703) 413-3000 EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/689,696

Applicants: Masako NUKAGA, et al.

Filing Date: October 13, 2000

For: METHOD OF CONTROLLING

INTERMODULATION DISTORTION OF NON-

RECIPROCAL DEVICE

Group Art Unit: 2617

Examiner: Jones, S.

RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 2004

Technology Center 2600

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\user\MLHAR\AMENDMENT COVERS\198249US.DOC

AUG 2 3 2004 TO DOCKET NO: 198249US2CONT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

MASAKO NUKAGA ET AL

: EXAMINER: JONES, S.

SERIAL NO: 09/689,696

FILED: OCTOBER 13, 2000

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2817

FOR: METHOD OF CONTROLLING INTERMODULATION DISTORTION OF

NON-RECIPROCAL DEVICE

RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 2004

Technology Center 2600

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated July 23, 2004, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group II, Claims 17-38, drawn to ferromagnetic material compositions, classified in class252, subclass 62.6, for further examination on the merits. Applicants further elect Species K, Claims 22, 23, 30 and 34-38. Applicants reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected invention.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct inventions, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action identifies different search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may

be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-39 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/03)

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

I:\ATTY\EHK\Amend-Responses\0039\19S\198249US-PE.DOC